UNITED STATES ARMY COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

Before
FLOR, POND, and STEELE
Appellate Military Judges

UNITED STATES, Appellee
v.
Private First Class MICHAEL X. REAMS
United States Army, Appellant

ARMY 20250474

Headquarters, Fort Campbell
John R. Longley III, Military Judge
Lieutenant Colonel John C. Olson, Jr., Special Trial Counsel

For Appellant: Major Peter M. Ellis, JA; Captain Emily R. Ittner, JA.

For Appellee: Major Elizabeth G. Van Dyck, JA.

15 October 2026

DECISION ON RECONSIDERATION


Per Curiam:

On 19 August 2026, this court affirmed the findings of guilty and the sentence in appellant's case. *United States v. Reams*, ARMY 20250474, 2026 CCA LEXIS 385 (Army Ct. Crim. App. 2026). The same day, appellant submitted to the court a motion to attach Defense Appellant Exhibit (DAE) A, containing an unsworn declaration by appellant relevant to his matters previously submitted pursuant to *United States v. Grostefon*, 12 M.J. 431 (C.M.A. 1982). On 20 August 2026, the court denied the motion to attach DAE A.

On 16 September 2026, appellant submitted a motion to reconsider this court's decision and the denial of the motion to attach DAE A. On 15 October 2026, the court granted both motions. The record is now before us for further review.

On consideration of the entire record, we hold the findings of guilty and the sentence, as entered in the Judgment of the Court, correct in law and fact.[1] Accordingly, the findings and sentence are AFFIRMED.

FOR THE COURT:



JAMES W. HERRING, JR.
Clerk of Court

[1] We have given full and fair consideration to appellant's allegation of ineffective assistance of counsel (IAC). Having considered the record, and our superior court's guidance in *United States v. Ginn*, 47 M.J. 236 (C.A.A.F. 1997), we find ourselves capable of resolving appellant's claim without ordering affidavits from defense counsel.