

UNITED STATES ARMY COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

Before
COOPER, STEELE, and SCHLACK
Appellate Military Judges

UNITED STATES, Appellee
v.
Private First Class PATRICK A. FORD
United States Army, Appellant

ARMY 20230263

Headquarters, 1st Armored Division and Fort Bliss
Robert L. Shuck, Military Judge (arraignment)
Adam S. Kazin, Military Judge (trial)
Colonel Andrew D. Flor, Staff Judge Advocate

For Appellant: Colonel Philip M. Staten, JA; Lieutenant Colonel Autumn R. Porter, JA; Major Robert D. Luyties, JA; Captain Stephen R. Millwood, JA (on brief and reply brief).

For Appellee: Colonel Christopher B. Burgess, JA; Major Chase C. Cleveland, JA; Captain Anthony J. Scarpati, JA (on brief).

20 August 2026

DECISION ON REMAND

Per Curiam:

On 21 March 2025, this court merged Specifications 2 and 4 of Charge II into Specification 4 and set aside Specification 2 and its corresponding segmented sentence. *United States v. Ford*, ARMY 20230263, 2025 CCA LEXIS 123, at *7 (Army Ct. Crim. App. 21 Mar. 2025) (summ. disp.). The remaining findings of guilty and the sentence *in toto* were affirmed. *Id.*, at *7-8.

On 1 July 2026, our superior court set aside our decision, remanding appellant's case to this court "to complete [our] review under Article 66, Uniform Code of Military Justice, 10 U.S.C. § 866 (2018 & Supp. V 2019-2024)." *United States v. Ford*, ___ M.J. ___, 2026 CAAF LEXIS 570, at *11 (C.A.A.F. 1 July 2026).

On consideration of the entire record, we hold the findings of guilty and the sentence, as incorporated in the Judgment of the Court, dated 14 June 2023, correct

FORD – ARMY 20230263

in law and fact.* Accordingly, those findings of guilty and the sentence are AFFIRMED.

FOR THE COURT:


JAMES W. HERRING, JR.
Clerk of Court

* We have given full and fair consideration to appellant's other assigned errors and the matters appellant personally raised pursuant to *United States v. Grostefon*, 12 M.J. 431 (C.M.A. 1982), and find them to be without merit.