

UNITED STATES ARMY COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

Before
FLEMING, PENLAND, and SCHLACK
Appellate Military Judges

UNITED STATES, Appellee
v.
Specialist SKYLER A. WACKT
United States Army, Appellant

ARMY 20240192

Headquarters, Fort Riley
Scott A. Oravec, Military Judge
Colonel Lisa M. Satterfield-Scott, Staff Judge Advocate

For Appellant: Major Robert W. Rodriguez, JA; Captain Eli M. Creighton, JA.

For Appellee: Lieutenant Colonel K. M. Bohlke, JA.

6 March 2025

DECISION

Per Curiam:

On consideration of the entire record, including consideration of the issues personally specified by the appellant, we hold the findings of guilty and the sentence, as entered in the Judgment, correct in law and fact. Accordingly, those findings of guilty and the sentence are AFFIRMED.*

FOR THE COURT:


JAMES W. HERRING, JR.
Clerk of Court

* Appellant argues in his filing (by footnote) that the language “at or near Bonney Lake, Washington, and at or near Camp Herkus, Lithuania” should be stricken from

(continued . . .)

(. . .continued)

Specification 2 of Charge I based on the evidence presented at appellant's guilty plea. We agree. Pursuant to *United States v. English*, 79 M.J. 116, 120 (C.A.A.F. 2019), this court may narrow the scope of appellant's convictions to conduct we deem factually and legally sufficient. We further find the date range charged for Specification 2 of Charge I should be modified, as noted below, based on the same evidence. We therefore affirm only so much of the guilty finding of Specification 2 of Charge I as follows:

In that [appellant], U.S. Army, did, at or near Fort Riley, Kansas, between on or about 31 October 2022 and on or about 8 December 2022 knowingly and wrongfully possess, receive, and view, on a Samsung Galaxy S22 Ultra, child pornography, to wit: one or more digital visual depictions of a minor, or what appears to be a minor, engaging in sexually explicit conduct, and that said conduct was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.