

UNITED STATES ARMY COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

Before
FLEMING, PENLAND, and COOPER
Appellate Military Judges

UNITED STATES, Appellee
v.
Specialist BRIAN S. RODGER
United States Army, Appellant

ARMY 20230066

Headquarters, Fort Stewart
Albert G. Courie III and Benjamin W. Hillner, Military Judges
Lieutenant Colonel Tanasha N. Stinson, Staff Judge Advocate

For Appellant: Colonel Philip M. Staten, JA; Lieutenant Colonel Autumn R. Porter, JA; Major Beau O. Watkins, JA; Captain Amber L. Bunch, JA (on brief).

For Appellee: Colonel Richard E. Gorini, JA; Major Chase C. Cleveland, JA (on brief).

31 January 2025

DECISION

Per Curiam:

On consideration of the entire record, we hold the findings of guilty and the sentence, as entered in the Judgment, correct in law and fact. Accordingly, those findings of guilty and the sentence are AFFIRMED.^{1 2}

FOR THE COURT:



STEVEN P. HAIGHT
Acting Clerk of Court

¹ Block 32 (whether appellant has been convicted of a misdemeanor crime of

(continued . . .)

(. . . continued)

domestic violence) of the “Statement of Trial Results” may have been incorrectly marked “Yes.” In accordance with *United States v. Williams*, __ M.J. __, 2024 CAAF LEXIS 501 (C.A.A.F. 7 May 2024), we lack the authority to modify Block 32. We do, however, note the discrepancy for the record.

² The “Not Guilty” entries in the “Finding/Disposition” boxes of the Statement of Trial Results Findings Worksheet, incorporated into the Judgment of the Court are changed to read “Dismissed.”