

IN THE UNITED STATES ARMY COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES,

Appellee

v.

Sergeant (E-5)

TREVAR D. TINSLEY

United States Army,

Appellant

MOTION TO EXAMINE
SEALED BRIEF

Docket No. ARMY 20200337

Tried at Fort Bragg, North Carolina, on 14 April, 22-24 June, and 1 July 2020, before a general court-martial appointed by Commander, United States Army John F. Kennedy Special Warfare Center and School, Colonel Charles Pritchard and Lieutenant Colonel Christopher Martin, Military Judges, presiding.

**TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES ARMY
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

COMES NOW the undersigned appellate defense counsel, pursuant to Rule 23 of this court's Rules of Appellate Procedure, and moves to examine the sealed Appellee's Brief in the case of *United States v. Tinsley*. The undersigned appellate defense counsel seek to examine Appellee's Brief, which was sealed pursuant to Rule 17.2(b) of this Court's Rules of Appellate Procedure.

In the present case, review of the materials sealed brief is consistent with appellate defense counsel's obligations under Army Reg. 27-26, Rules of Professional Conduct for Lawyers, and is reasonably necessary to respond to Appellee. Appellant was found guilty of a violation of Article 120, UCMJ.

WHEREFORE, appellate defense counsel respectfully request that
this court grant the instant motion.

PANEL NO. 3

APPELLANT'S MOTION
TO EXAMINE SEALED BRIEF

GRANTED: [REDACTED]

DENIED: _____

DATE: 26 AUG 2021

[REDACTED]

FOR
Michael Millios
Civilian Appellate Defense Counsel

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

LAUREN M. TEEL
CPT, JA
Appellate Defense Counsel

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was electronically submitted to Army Court and Government Appellate Division on August 25, 2021.


MELINDA J. JOHNSON
Paralegal Specialist
Defense Appellate Division
