IN THE UNITED STATES ARMY COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES Appellee	**MOTION FOR EXTENSION (5)**
v.	Docket No. ARMY 20250320
Sergeant (E–5) **GRIFFIN C. MCMILLEN,** United States Army, Appellant	Tried at Vicenza, Italy, on 17 June 2025, before a special court-martial convened by the Commander, U.S. Army Southern European Task Force–Africa, Colonel Thomas Hynes, military judge, presiding.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE
UNITED STATES ARMY COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

COME NOW the undersigned appellate defense counsel, pursuant to Rules 23 and 24 of this court's Rules of Appellate Procedure, and move this court to grant appellant's Motion for Extension of Time. The undersigned appellate defense counsel request a 30-day extension of time until 22 June 2026 to file a Brief on Behalf of Appellant. The Brief on Behalf of Appellant is currently due on 23 May 2026. Pursuant to Rule 24.1(b), the undersigned counsel assert:

1. On 17 June 2025, a military judge sitting as a special court-martial convicted appellant, pursuant to his pleas, of one specification of domestic violence, one specification of resisting apprehension, one specification of maltreatment, one specification of wrongfully damaging government property, one specification of communicating threats, and two specifications of disorderly

conduct, in violation of Articles 128b, 87a, 93, 108, 115, and 134, Uniform Code of Military Justice [UCMJ]. (R. at 142; Charge Sheet). The same day, the military judge sentenced appellant to be confined for a total of 70 days, to be reduced to the grade of E–1, and to receive a bad-conduct discharge. (R. at 179; STR).

2. On 22 July 2025, the convening authority took no action on the findings or sentence. (Action). The military judge entered Judgment on 25 July 2025. (Judgment). On 4 September 2025, the military judge entered a modified Judgement which corrected the forum. (Modified Judgment). This court docketed appellant's case on 25 September 2025. (Referral).

3. Appellant's electronic record of trial is 598 pages, including 180 transcript pages. Appellant is not in confinement and consents to this extension.

4. This request is based on conversations with appellant that evince a need for further discussion and contemplation on the part of appellant as to his decisions and intentions in the appellate process. Appellant understands and respects the gravity of the decision before him, and thus, seeks further time to make a final choice as to how he intends to proceed.

5. Consistent with Army Reg. 27-26, Rules of Professional Conduct for Lawyers, the undersigned counsel request additional time to adequately consult with the appellant regarding his appeal and to fulfill counsels' ethical obligation to represent his interests.

WHEREFORE, appellate defense counsel respectfully request this court grant the instant motion.

[redacted]

PANEL NO. 2

MOTION FOR EXTENSION (5)

GRANTED: [redacted] ___

DENIED: _____

DATE: 21 May 2026

*Granted as final extension.

Eli M. Creighton
Captain, Judge Advocate
Appellate Counsel
Defense Appellate Division

[redacted]

Kelsey Mowatt-Larssen
Major, Judge Advocate
Branch Chief
Defense Appellate Division

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was electronically filed with the Army Court and Government Appellate Division on May 18, 2026.

[redacted]

MELINDA J. JOHNSON
Paralegal Specialist
Defense Appellate Division