IN THE UNITED STATES ARMY COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

UNITED STATES, Appellee	MOTION FOR EXTENSION (3)
v.	Docket No. ARMY 20220616
Staff Sergeant (E-6) **JOEL A. CORRINARD** United States Army, Appellant	Tried at Fort Hood, Texas, on 23 January, 13 June, 29 November-2 December 2022, before a general court-martial appointed by the Commander, 1st Cavalry Division, Colonel Maureen A. Kohn, Military Judge, presiding.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES ARMY COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

COME NOW the undersigned appellate defense counsel, pursuant to Rules 23 and 24 of this Court's Internal Rules of Practice and Procedure and move this court to grant appellant's Motion for Extension of Time. The undersigned appellate defense counsel requests a 30-day extension of time, until ~~4~~ 3 January 2024, to file a Brief on Behalf of Appellant. The Brief on Behalf of Appellant is currently due on ~~5~~ 4 December 2023. Pursuant to Rule 24.1(b), the first undersigned counsel asserts:

1. On 2 December 2022, an enlisted panel sitting as a general court-martial, found Staff Sergeant Corrinard (appellant) guilty contrary to his pleas of two specifications of sexual assault and one specification of abusive sexual contact, in violation of Article 120, Uniform Code

of Military Justice, 10 U.S.C. § 920 [UCMJ]. The military judge sentenced appellant to seventy-two months of confinement and a dishonorable discharge. On 12 January 2023, the convening authority took no action. This court referred appellant's case on 7 June 2023.

2. Due to a conflict, the case was transferred to the first undersigned counsel on 10 August 2023. The transcript in this case is 633 pages, while additional documents and attachments are 1247 pages. The undersigned counsel recently discovered that number of Appellate Exhibits were missing from the eROT.

3. Currently, the first undersigned counsel has two oral arguments in January and three other filings due in December at the Court Appeals for the Armed Forces (CAAF). In addition, the counsel has twenty-three cases pending review at the Army Court.

4. Consistent with Army Regulation 27-26, Rules of Professional Conduct for Lawyers, undersigned counsel request additional time to ensure that counsel have diligently investigated, thoroughly researched, and appropriately briefed any assignments of error in this case to fulfill counsels' ethical obligation to represent the appellant's interest.

5. Appellant is still in confinement and concurs with this request.

WHEREFORE, appellate defense counsel respectfully request that this court grant the instant motion.

PANEL NO. 2

MOTION FOR EXTENSION (3)

GRANTED: [redacted]

DENIED: _____

DATE: 29 November 2023

[redacted]
TUMENTUGS D. ARMSTRONG
Captain, Judge Advocate
Appellate Defense Counsel
Defense Appellate Division

[redacted]
MITCHELL D. HERNIAK
MAJ, JA
Branch Chief
Defense Appellate Division

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was electronically submitted to the Army Court and Government Appellate Division on 27 November 2023.

TUMENTUGS D. ARMSTRONG
Captain, Judge Advocate
Appellate Defense Counsel
Defense Appellate Division