

# UNITED STATES ARMY COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

Before  
PENLAND, MORRIS, and ARGUELLES  
Appellate Military Judges

**UNITED STATES, Appellee**  
**v.**  
**Private E1 LUKE A. WATKINS**  
**United States Army, Appellant**

ARMY 20210638

-----  
ORDER  
-----

WHEREAS:

On 21 February 2023, appellant filed his brief with one assignment of error. In the same brief, appellant requested this court consider five additional matters pursuant to *United States v. Grostefon*, 12 M.J. 431 (C.M.A. 1982). On 21 June 2023, appellee filed its brief in answer. On 10 July 2023, appellant filed his reply brief.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

On its own motion, this Court specifies the following issue:

I.

WHETHER THE MILITARY JUDGE ABUSED HER DISCRETION IN DENYING THE DEFENSE MOTION FOR EXPERT ASSISTANCE IN THE FIELD OF FORENSIC PSYCHOLOGY?

Appellant shall file a brief on the specified issue no later than 11 August 2023. Appellee shall file a responsive brief no later than 18 August 2023. Appellant may file a reply brief no later than 24 August 2023.

DATE: 2 August 2023

FOR THE COURT:



JAMES W. HERRING, JR.  
Clerk of Court